

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/688,365</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> FORSBERG, ANDREW THOMAS</p>	
<p><b>Examiner</b> JING RUI OU</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 3773</p>		

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) JING RUI OU. (3) Grant Foster.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 May 2011 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1, 9, 21, 33, 38, and 55

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner discussed the proposed Examiner's amendment with Applicant's representative. Applicant's representative agreed on the examiner's amendment and authorized the examiner to enter the examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance..